

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 06/26/2003 1101.033US1 5658 10/606,480 Jay N. Turkbas **EXAMINER** 08/16/2004 Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. KAVANAUGH, JOHN T P.O. Box 2938 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Minneapolis, MN 55402

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/606,480	TURKBAS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted Kavanaugh	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVOIDE AMONTHI	C) FDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,6-8 and 20-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,9-19 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive	on No
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of species II (figures 6-8) in Paper filed July 15, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 2,6-8 and 20-22 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper filed July 15, 2004. Claims 6-8 have been included in the list above because they clearly read on non-elected species I.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because Figures 3-5 and 7-8 are not taken along the lines as shown in figures 2 and 6. They are reversed. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3728

5. Claims 1,3-5,9-19 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6408543 (Erickson et al).

Erickson teaches a footbed comprising an insole (22) having two layers (25a, 25b), a heel pad (28), an arch pad (27), a support (24) embedded in the insole and surrounds the heel pad and extends to the metatarsal area along the medial side of the insole (as shown in figures 1 and 2). Regarding claims 18 and 19, see figure 16 which shows a portion of the insole (22) extending into the support (24) on both the medial and lateral sides of the insole.

6. Claims 1,3,9,10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6502330 (David et al).

David teaches a sole having structure as claimed including an insole (3), a support (4) attached to the insole and surrounds the heel area and extends to the metatarsal area along the medial side of the insole (as shown in figures 1 and 4).

7. Claims 1,3-5,9-17 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5184409 (Brown).

Brown teaches an orthotic insert having structure as claimed including an insole (B) having two layers (101,102,103), a heel pad (129a), an arch pad (129b and/or 129c), a support (H) embedded in the insole and surrounds the heel pad and extends to the metatarsal area along the medial side of the insole (as shown in figures 8-12).

Conclusion

8. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:

-"The reply must present arguments pointing out the *specific* distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."

Art Unit: 3728

--"A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."

-Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

9. Information about your application can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Telephone inquiries regarding other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners" M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (703) 872-9306 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account may also use it for filing papers that require a fee. Please identify Examiner Ted Kavanaugh of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, email <u>CustomerService3700@uspto.gov</u>.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (703) 308-1244. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM.

Art Unit: 3728

Ted kavanaugh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

TK August 12, 2004